

Nonlinear Sigma Models in $(1 + 2)$ -Dimensions and An Infinite Number of Conserved Currents

Kazuyuki FUJII* and Tatsuo SUZUKI†

Abstract

We treat in this paper non-linear sigma models such as CP^1 -model, QP^1 -model and etc, in $1 + 2$ dimensions. For submodels of such ones we definitely construct an infinite number of nontrivial conserved currents. Our result is a generalization of that of authors (Alvarez, Ferreira and Guillen).

0 Introduction

Integrable models in $(1 + 1)$ -dimensions are good toy models to understand $(1 + 3)$ -dimensional field theories such as Yang-Mills one or Yang-Mills-Higgs one. See, for example, [1].

They have an infinite number of nontrivial conserved currents, which usually correspond to an infinite dimensional Lie algebra such as Kac-Moody algebra, Virasoro algebra or W-algebra. See [2].

For many such models the equations of motion are formulated in terms of the zero-curvature condition $F_{01} = 0$. Under this condition a holonomy operator doesn't depend on paths whose end points are kept fixed. Then we can construct the conserved charges from this operator. See [3]. This method

*Department of Mathematics, Yokohama City University, Yokohama 236, Japan,
E-mail address: fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

†Department of Mathematics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169, Japan,
E-mail address: 695m5050@mn.waseda.ac.jp

is very powerful and useful. But this is typical of one or two-dimensional cases. To generalize the method to higher dimensional cases beyond the two dimensions is not easy task. But recently Alvarez, Ferreira and Guillen in the interesting paper [3] proposed a new idea to generalize the method in two dimensions. In particular they defined a three dimensional integrability and applied their method to the CP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions to obtain an infinite number of nontrivial conserved currents. But their results (calculation) are not complete. The aim of this paper is to give explicit forms to conserved currents of a submodel of CP^1 -model and also apply their ! method to other non-linear sigma models in $(1+2)$ -dimensions to obtain an infinite number of nontrivial conserved currents.

1 Review of [3]

We first make a review of [3] within our necessity.

Let M be a $(1+d)$ -dimensional differential manifold and $x_0 \in M$ a fixed point. We denote by G a Lie group and by L_0M a path space on M starting from x_0 ,

$$(1) \quad L_0M \equiv \{x : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow M | x(\sigma) \in M, x(0) = x_0\}.$$

Let W be a holonomy operator $W : L_0M \rightarrow G$. That is, W is defined by the differential equation

$$(2) \quad \frac{dW}{d\sigma} + A_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} W = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma \in L_0M,$$

where $A_\mu (\mu = 0, 1, \dots, d)$ is a connection on a principal G -bundle on M . We set the initial condition $W(0) = I$. We note that W is not a local function of $x = (x^\mu)$ and is usually given by the path-ordered integral.

We investigate the conditions under which W becomes local. For this aim we study a variation of W under deformations of Γ keeping the initial and end points (the boundary). The result is

$$(3) \quad W^{-1}(2\pi)\delta W(2\pi) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} F_{\mu\nu} W \frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} \delta x^\nu,$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the curvature of A_μ ,

$$(4) \quad F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu].$$

If this curvature vanishes

$$(5) \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

then $\delta W(2\pi) = 0$ from (3), so W becomes path-independent. Namely W is a local function on Γ ($W = W(x^\mu(\sigma))$).

From (2) A_μ is written as

$$(6) \quad A_\mu = -\partial_\mu W W^{-1}.$$

A_μ is a pure gauge.

Next we consider a two-dimensional “holonomy” operator. Let Γ be a fixed loop at x_0 . We denote by $S_\Gamma M$ a space of surfaces on M with the boundary Γ ,

$$(7) \quad S_\Gamma M \equiv \{\Sigma : \text{a surface on } M | \partial\Sigma = \Gamma\}.$$

Γ is parametrized by $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi]$, so we parametrized Σ as follows.

We scan Σ with loops passing through x_0 and being parametrized by $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $\tau = 0$ is the infinitesimal loop around x_0 and $\tau = 2\pi$ is Γ . We want to identify a surface Σ in $S_\Gamma M$ with such a parametrization by $\tau \in [0, 2\pi]$. Here we introduce a gauge field A_μ and an anti-symmetric tensor field $B_{\mu\nu}$. Then a two-dimensional “holonomy” operator $V : S_\Gamma M \rightarrow G$ is defined by the differential equation

$$(8) \quad \frac{dV}{d\tau} - VT(B, A; \tau) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma \in S_\Gamma M$$

where

$$(9) \quad T(B, A; \tau) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} B_{\mu\nu} W \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} B_{\mu\nu} W \frac{\partial(x^\mu, x^\nu)}{\partial(\sigma, \tau)}$$

and W is given by (2) and $x^\mu = x^\mu(\sigma, \tau)$. We set the initial condition $V(0) = I$. In general there are an infinite number of methods to scan Σ in $S_\Gamma M$. The quantity V in (8) should not depend on such methods. Therefore we assume A_μ be flat ($F_{\mu\nu} = 0$). Then W becomes local by the arguments below (5), so $T = T(B, A; \tau)$ in (9) becomes also local. But V itself is still non-local. We research the conditions under which V becomes local. For this

aim we study a variation of V under deformations of Σ keeping the boundary Γ . The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta V(2\pi) V^{-1}(2\pi) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau \quad V(\tau) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} (D_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} + D_\nu B_{\lambda\mu}) W \right. \\
&\quad \times \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tau} \delta x^\lambda \\
(10) \quad & \left. - [T(B, A; \tau), \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} B_{\mu\nu} W \frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} \delta x^\nu] \right\} V^{-1}(\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + [A_\lambda, B_{\mu\nu}].$$

If the R.H.S. of (10) vanishes, then $\delta V(2\pi) = 0$. Namely V becomes surface-independent or V becomes a local function on Σ .

A comment is in order. The R.H.S. of (10) is identified with the curvature of a principal G -bundle on the loop space ΩG . See the appendix in [3]. Now we can construct conserved charges from V . This is the main story of [3].

Next we must study the vanishing conditions of the R.H.S. of (10). First we restrict a Lie group G . Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Here we assume $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-semisimple. If we set $\mathfrak{p}$ be the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposed into $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ by the Levi's theorem [4]. Now we assume

$$(11) \quad A_\mu \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mu\nu} \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is the radical (abelian) and $W^{-1} B_{\mu\nu} W \in \mathfrak{p}$, so we have

$$(12) \quad [T(B, A; \tau), \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma W^{-1} B_{\mu\nu} W \frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} \delta x^\nu] = 0.$$

Second we restrict gauge fields A_μ and $B_{\mu\nu}$. We have choosed A_μ a flat connection ($F_{\mu\nu} = 0$). Therefore if we have

$$(13) \quad G_{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv D_\lambda B_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu B_{\nu\lambda} + D_\nu B_{\lambda\mu} = 0,$$

the R.H.S. of (10) vanishes. That is to say, we call

$$(14) \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 0$$

local integrability conditions under (11).

Up to now our arguments are based on any $(1+d)$ -dimensional manifold M . From here let us restrict to $(1+2)$ -dimensional case. For $B_{\mu\nu}$ we consider its dual

$$(15) \quad \tilde{B}^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}B_{\nu\lambda},$$

where $\epsilon^{012} = 1 = -\epsilon_{012}$. Then we have $G_{\mu\nu\lambda} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu = 0$. Therefore we can write local integrability conditions (14) as

$$(16) \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu = 0.$$

This is a typical feature of 3-dimensions.

Next let us construct a non-semisimple Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ starting from $\mathfrak{g}$. Let R be a representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(P)$, where P is a representation space (abelian ideal). The construction of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is as follows:

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\{T_a\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\{P_i\}$ of P . The commutation relations in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} [T_a, T_b] &= f_{ab}^c T_c, \\ [T_a, P_i] &= P_j R_{ji}(T_a), \\ [P_i, P_j] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose A_μ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$(19) \quad A_\mu \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mu\nu} \in P$$

satisfying (16). Then the current

$$(20) \quad J_\mu \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu W$$

is conserved

$$(21) \quad \partial_\mu J^\mu = W^{-1} D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu W = 0.$$

On the other hand since $J_\mu \in P$

$$(22) \quad J_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim P} J_\mu^i P_i,$$

so $\{J_\mu^i | 1 \leq i \leq \dim P\}$ is a set of conserved currents. This is just the one which we are looking for. Therefore if the number of different representations R is infinite, we can get an infinite number of conserved currents in this way.

2 CP^1 model and its submodel

In this section we consider the CP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions as an effective example of the preceding theory. CP^1 (1-dimensional complex projective space) is identified with $SU(2)/U(1)$ and the embedding $i : CP^1 \rightarrow SU(2)$ is

$$(23) \quad i(CP^1) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|v|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ -\bar{v} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid v \in C \right\}$$

well-known. But according to [3], we set $v = iu$ ($u \in C$) to obtain

$$(24) \quad i(CP^1) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ i\bar{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in C \right\}.$$

This form becomes useful later on. We note here that CP^1 is identified with the projection space

$$(25) \quad CP^1 \cong \left\{ \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -iu \\ i\bar{u} & |u|^2 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in C \right\}.$$

The action of CP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions is given by

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{A}(u) \equiv \int d^3x \frac{\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1+|u|^2)^2},$$

where $u : M^{1+2} \rightarrow C$. Its equation of motion is

$$(27) \quad (1+|u|^2)\partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - 2\bar{u}\partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

This model is invariant under the transformation

$$(28) \quad u \rightarrow \frac{1}{u}.$$

It is well-known that this model has three conserved currents

$$(29) \quad J_\mu^{Noet} = \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u \bar{u} - u \partial_\mu \bar{u}),$$

$$(30) \quad j_\mu = \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u + u^2 \partial_\mu \bar{u}),$$

$$(31) \quad \text{and the complex conjugate } \bar{j}_\mu,$$

corresponding to the number of generators of $SU(2)$.

Next applying the preceding theory to this case, we must identify a Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in (17). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$, the Lie algebra of $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Let $\{T_+, T_-, T_3\}$ be generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ satisfying

$$(32) \quad [T_3, T_+] = T_+, \quad [T_3, T_-] = -T_-, \quad [T_+, T_-] = 2T_3.$$

Usually we choose

$$(33) \quad T_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From here we consider a spin j representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ in (18) is given by

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} [T_3, P_m^{(j)}] &= mP_m^{(j)}, \\ [T_\pm, P_m^{(j)}] &= \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m \pm 1)}P_{m \pm 1}^{(j)}, \\ [P_m^{(j)}, P_n^{(j)}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in \{-j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j\}$ and $\{P_m^{(j)} | -j \leq m \leq j\}$ is a set of generators of the representation space $P \cong C^{2j+1}$. We note that $P_j^{(j)}$ ($P_{-j}^{(j)}$) is the highest (lowest) spin state. Now we must choose gauge fields A_μ , $B_{\mu\nu}$ or A_μ , $\tilde{B}_\mu$ in (15) to satisfy (16). From (24), we set

$$(35) \quad W \equiv W(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ i\bar{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and choose

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} A_\mu &\equiv -\partial_\mu W W^{-1} \\ &= \frac{-1}{1+|u|^2} \{i\partial_\mu u T_+ + i\partial_\mu \bar{u} T_- + (\partial_\mu u \bar{u} - u \partial_\mu \bar{u}) T_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(37) \quad \tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} (\partial_\mu u P_1^{(1)} - \partial_\mu \bar{u} P_{-1}^{(1)}).$$

From this choice we have $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$. A comment is in order. The Gauss decomposition of W in (35) is given by

$$(38) \quad W = W_1 \equiv e^{iuT_+} e^{\varphi T_3} e^{i\bar{u}T_-}$$

or

$$(39) \quad W = W_2 \equiv e^{i\bar{u}T_-} e^{-\varphi T_3} e^{iuT_+}$$

where $\varphi = \log(1 + |u|^2)$. Now it is easy to show that CP^1 -model satisfies the local integrability conditions

$$(40) \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(1)} = 0$$

from (36) and (37). Therefore the conserved currents (20) are

$$(41) \quad J_\mu^{(1)} \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)} W = j_\mu P_1^{(1)} - \sqrt{2}i J_\mu^{Noet} P_0^{(1)} - \bar{j}_\mu P_{-1}^{(1)},$$

where coefficients are given by (29), (30), (31). This is not the end of our story. Moreover we consider more extended situation in (36), (37). That is, we choose

$$(42) \quad \tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)} \equiv \frac{1}{1 + |u|^2} (\partial_\mu u P_1^{(j)} - \partial_\mu \bar{u} P_{-1}^{(j)})$$

instead of $\tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)}$ in (37). In this case $P_1^{(j)}$ ($P_{-1}^{(j)}$) is not the highest (lowest) spin state. If we assume $D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(j)} = 0$, where

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(j)} = & \frac{1}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} \left\{ \sqrt{j(j+1) - 2} (-i\partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u P_2^{(j)} + i\partial_\mu \bar{u} \partial^\mu \bar{u} P_{-2}^{(j)}) \right. \\ & + \{(1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - 2\bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u\} P_1^{(j)} \\ & \left. + \{(1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{u} - 2u \partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu \bar{u}\} P_{-1}^{(j)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we must add a new constraint in addition to the equation of motion (27):

$$(1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - 2\bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

Namely

$$(44) \quad \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

We call this one a submodel of CP^1 -model according to [3]. Then the conserved currents are

$$(45) \quad J_\mu^{(j)} \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)} W = \sum_{m=-j}^j J_\mu^{(j,m)} P_m^{(j)}.$$

In [3] they determined $\{J_\mu^{(j,m)} \mid |m| \leq j\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ only and left the remaining cases. In fact to determine these for any $j \in N$ is not so easy (hard work). But we did this. Namely the result is

Proposition 2.1 *we have*

(a) *for $j \geq m \geq 1$,*

$$(46) \quad J_\mu^{(j,m)} = \sqrt{\frac{(j+m)!}{j(j+1)(j-m)!}} \frac{(-iu)^{m-1}}{(1+|u|^2)^{j+1}} \times \left(\sum_{n=0}^{j-m} \alpha_n^{(j,m)} |u|^{2n} \partial_\mu u + (-1)^{j-m} \sum_{n=0}^{j-m} \alpha_{j-m-n}^{(j,m)} |u|^{2n} u^2 \partial_\mu \bar{u} \right),$$

(b) *for $m = 0$,*

$$(47) \quad J_\mu^{(j,0)} = -i \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{(\bar{u} \partial_\mu u - u \partial_\mu \bar{u})}{(1+|u|^2)^{j+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{j-1} \gamma_n^{(j,0)} |u|^{2n},$$

(c) *for $j \geq m \geq 1$,*

$$(48) \quad J_\mu^{(j,-m)} = (-1)^m J_\mu^{(j,m)\dagger},$$

where coefficients are

$$(49) \quad \alpha_n^{(j,m)} = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(m+n-1)!} \binom{j-m}{n} \binom{j+1}{n},$$

$$(50) \quad \gamma_n^{(j,0)} = (-1)^n \frac{1}{j} \binom{j}{n} \binom{j}{n+1}.$$

3 CP^1 -like models and its submodels

In this section, we consider CP^1 -like sigma models in $(1+2)$ -dimensions. First of all, we fix $j \in N$. The action of such a model is given by

$$(51) \quad \mathcal{A}_j(u) \equiv \int d^3x \frac{\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1+|u|^2)^{j+1}},$$

where $u : M^{1+2} \rightarrow C$. When $j = 1$, (51) reduces to CP^1 -model. A comment is now in order. The action (51) is not invariant under the transformation $u \rightarrow 1/u$ in (28), so we may consider an invariant action

$$(52) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_j(u) \equiv \int d^3x \frac{(1 + |u|^{2(j-1)}) \partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^{j+1}}.$$

But for the sake of simplicity, we consider (51) only in this paper. The equation of motion of (51) reads

$$(53) \quad (1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - (j+1) \bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

Taking an analogy of section 2, we set A_μ the same as (36) and $\tilde{B}_\mu$ as

$$(54) \quad \tilde{B}_\mu = \frac{1}{1 + |u|^2} (\partial_\mu u P_j^{(j)} - \partial_\mu \bar{u} P_{-j}^{(j)})$$

where $P_j^{(j)}$ ($P_{-j}^{(j)}$) is the highest (lowest) spin state. Let us calculate $D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu$.

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu &= -i\sqrt{2j} \frac{\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} P_{j-1}^{(j)} + i\sqrt{2j} \frac{\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} P_{-j+1}^{(j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - (j+1) \bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u + (j-1) u \partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} P_{-j}^{(j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{u} - (j+1) u \partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu \bar{u} + (j-1) \bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^2} P_j^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume $D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu = 0$, then we have

$$(56) \quad (1 + |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u - (j+1) \bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

We again call this a submodel of CP^1 -like model. For this model, the local integrability conditions ($F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $D_\mu \tilde{B}^\mu = 0$) are satisfied. Therefore, the conserved currents are

$$(57) \quad J_\mu \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu W = \sum_{m=-j}^j J_\mu^{(j,m)} P_m^{(j)}.$$

We can determine $J_\mu^{(j,m)}$ completely.

Proposition 3.1 *For $j \geq m \geq -j$, we have*

$$(58) \quad J_\mu^{(j,m)} = \alpha \frac{\bar{u}^{j-m} \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^{j+1}} + \beta \frac{u^{j+m} \partial_\mu \bar{u}}{(1 + |u|^2)^{j+1}},$$

where α and β are some constant (we don't need the explicit forms).

Analysing this proposition, we can remove the constraint $j \geq |m|$. Namely, we have

Corollary 3.2

$$(59) \quad J_\mu^{(n)} = \frac{\bar{u}^n \partial_\mu u}{(1 + |u|^2)^{n+1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and its complex conjugate $\bar{J}_\mu^{(n)}$ are conserved currents.

4 QP^1 model and its submodel

In this section, we consider the QP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions. QP^1 (1-dimensional quasi projective space) is identified with $SU(1,1)/U(1) \cong D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ and the embedding $i : QP^1 \rightarrow SU(1,1)$ is

$$(60) \quad i(QP^1) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ -i\bar{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in D \right\}.$$

Here D is the Poincare disk. We note here that QP^1 is identified with the quasi projection space

$$(61) \quad QP^1 \cong \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - |u|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -iu \\ -i\bar{u} & -|u|^2 \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in D \right\}.$$

See [5], in detail.

The action of QP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions is given by

$$(62) \quad \mathcal{A}(u) \equiv \int d^3x \frac{\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu u}{(1 - |u|^2)^2},$$

where $u : M^{1+2} \rightarrow D$. Its equation of motion is

$$(63) \quad (1 - |u|^2) \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u + 2\bar{u} \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

This model is invariant under the transformation $u \rightarrow 1/u$ in (28). This model has three conserved currents

$$(64) \quad J_\mu^{Noet} = \frac{1}{(1 - |u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u \bar{u} - u \partial_\mu \bar{u}),$$

$$(65) \quad j_\mu = \frac{1}{(1 - |u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u - u^2 \partial_\mu \bar{u}),$$

$$(66) \quad \text{and the complex conjugate } \bar{j}_\mu,$$

corresponding to the number of generators of $SU(1, 1)$. The complexification of both $SU(2)$ in section 2 and $SU(1, 1)$ in this section is just $SL(2, C)$. Therefore, the arguments in section 2 are still valid in this section. Namely we set

$$(67) \quad W \equiv W(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ -i\bar{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For this, the Gauss decomposition is given by

$$(68) \quad W = W_1 \equiv e^{iuT_+} e^{\varphi T_3} e^{-i\bar{u}T_-}$$

or

$$(69) \quad W = W_2 \equiv e^{-i\bar{u}T_-} e^{-\varphi T_3} e^{iuT_+}$$

where $\varphi = \log(1 - |u|^2)$. We choose A_μ and $\tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)}$ as

$$(70) \quad \begin{aligned} A_\mu &\equiv -\partial_\mu W W^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + |u|^2} \{-i\partial_\mu u T_+ + i\partial_\mu \bar{u} T_- + (\partial_\mu u \bar{u} - u \partial_\mu \bar{u}) T_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(71) \quad \tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{1 - |u|^2} (\partial_\mu u P_1^{(1)} + \partial_\mu \bar{u} P_{-1}^{(1)}).$$

Then, we easily have

$$(72) \quad F_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(1)} = 0,$$

so the conserved currents are

$$(73) \quad J_\mu^{(1)} \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)} W = j_\mu P_1^{(1)} + \sqrt{2} i J_\mu^{Noet} P_0^{(1)} + \bar{j}_\mu P_{-1}^{(1)},$$

where coefficients are (64), (65), (66).

Next we consider the extended situation as shown in section 2. We choose

$$(74) \quad \tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)} \equiv \frac{1}{1-|u|^2} (\partial_\mu u P_1^{(j)} + \partial_\mu \bar{u} P_{-1}^{(j)})$$

instead of $\tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)}$ in (71), and calculate $D_\mu \tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)}$.

$$(75) \quad \begin{aligned} D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(j)} &= \frac{1}{(1-|u|^2)^2} \left\{ \sqrt{j(j+1)-2} (-i\partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u P_2^{(j)} + i\partial_\mu \bar{u} \partial^\mu \bar{u} P_{-2}^{(j)}) \right. \\ &\quad + \{(1-|u|^2)\partial^\mu \partial_\mu u + 2\bar{u}\partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u\} P_1^{(j)} \\ &\quad \left. + \{(1-|u|^2)\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{u} + 2u\partial^\mu \bar{u} \partial_\mu \bar{u}\} P_{-1}^{(j)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we consider a submodel of QP^1 -model,

$$(76) \quad \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^\mu u \partial_\mu u = 0.$$

Then we have the local integrability conditions $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $D_\mu \tilde{B}^{\mu(j)} = 0$. The conserved currents are

$$(77) \quad J_\mu^{(j)} \equiv W^{-1} \tilde{B}_\mu^{(j)} W = \sum_{m=-j}^j J_\mu^{(j,m)} P_m^{(j)}.$$

Similarly to Prop 2.1,

Proposition 4.1 *we have*

(a) *for $j \geq m \geq 1$,*

$$(78) \quad \begin{aligned} J_\mu^{(j,m)} &= \sqrt{\frac{(j+m)!}{j(j+1)(j-m)!}} \frac{(-iu)^{m-1}}{(1-|u|^2)^{j+1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{n=0}^{j-m} \tilde{\alpha}_n^{(j,m)} |u|^{2n} \partial_\mu u - \sum_{n=0}^{j-m} \tilde{\alpha}_{j-m-n}^{(j,m)} |u|^{2n} u^2 \partial_\mu \bar{u} \right), \end{aligned}$$

(b) *for $m = 0$,*

$$(79) \quad J_\mu^{(j,0)} = i\sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{(\bar{u}\partial_\mu u - u\partial_\mu \bar{u})}{(1-|u|^2)^{j+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{j-1} \tilde{\gamma}_n^{(j,0)} |u|^{2n},$$

(c) for $j \geq m \geq 1$,

$$(80) \quad J_\mu^{(j,-m)} = J_\mu^{(j,m)\dagger},$$

where coefficients are

$$(81) \quad \tilde{\alpha}_n^{(j,m)} = \frac{n!}{(m+n-1)!} \binom{j-m}{n} \binom{j+1}{n},$$

$$(82) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_n^{(j,0)} = \frac{1}{j} \binom{j}{n} \binom{j}{n+1}.$$

5 Discussion

In this paper, we gave explicit forms to nontrivial conserved currents of sub-models of CP^1 -model, CP^1 -like models and QP^1 -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions. Our result is a generalization of that of [3].

We also take an interest in whether or not our results can be generalized for the CP^N -model or QP^N -model in $(1+2)$ -dimensions.

On the other hand, they in [3] defined a four dimensional integrability and applied their method to the self-dual Yang-Mills model or other models. But their arguments are a bit unclear (at least to us).

In a forthcoming paper, we shall make an attack to these problems.

Acknowledgements

Kazuyuki Fujii was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 09640210. Tatsuo Suzuki is very grateful to Tosiaki Kori for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] W.J.Zakrzewski: *Low Dimensional Sigma Models*, Adam Hilger(1989).
- [2] V.G.Kac: *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press(1990).

- [3] O.Alvarez, L.A.Ferreira and J.S.Guillen: *A New Approach to Integrable Theories in Any Dimension*, hep-th/9710147.
- [4] N.Jacobson: *Lie Algebras*, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons(1962).
- [5] K. Fujii: *Solutions of A_∞ Toda equations based on noncompact group $SU(1,1)$ and infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds*, J. of Math. Phys. 36(1995), 1652-1665.